



Lochhamer Straße 29, 82152 Martinsried, Germany, Email: info@medicover-genetics.com

Date: 16.03.2023

Report to:

Requesting Physician Name

Address

Contact Information

Order Number	20 2009 1234		
Born	DD/MM/YYYY		
Sex			
Date test requested:	27.02.2023		
Sample collected:	27.02.2023		
Sample / Specimen:	DNA from EDTA blood		

Order: molecular genetic analysis of Hereditary neuropathy NOT PMP22 copy number_1.2; Hereditary neuropathy NC PMP22 copy number 1.2

Additional Information /patient phenotype: Gemini reanalysis for Spinal muscular atrophy Distal AD panel.

RESULT SUMMARY:

NEGATIVE

No pathogenic/likely pathogenic or variant of uncertain significance (SNV or CNV) was identified.

Conclusion

In the examined genes, no pathogenic variant, likely pathogenic variant or variant of unclear significance could be detected which, according to the current state of knowledge, is or could be causally related to the present clinical symptoms of the patient.

Recommended action

Reanalysis of the data may be performed

- with a focus on other genes in the exome in case of occurrence of new symptoms in the patient.
- after few years based on updated scientific knowledge.

Report released by

John Doe 16.03.2023

johndoe@medicover.com



TEST METHODOLOGY								
Sequencing	g Enrichment SNV and CNV Data analysis		data evaluation	Reference genome				
Next Generation Sequencing (Illumina)	Twist Human Core Exome plus RefSeq SpikeIn Illumina Dragen Bio-l' Platform VarSeq by GoldenHe		VarSeq by GoldenHelix	hg38, NCBI GR38				
Quality criteria	SNV detection sensitivity	Classification of variants	in silico algorithms	Databases				
>30 (precision >99,9%) in min. 75% of bases	99.92 - 99.93 %; confirmation of reported SNV with Sanger sequencing, data analysis with SeqPilot	Richards et al. 2015, Genet Med 17:405; Ellard et al. "ACGS Best Practice Guidelines for Variant Classification	MaxEntScan, SpliceSiteFinder- like, REVEL	HGMD Professional release, ClinVar, gnomAD				

PERCENTAGE OF SEQUENCED BASES WITH COVERAGE >20X

98.266%

ANALYZED GENES

AARS1(NM_001605.2), ABCA1(NM_005502.3), AIFM1(NM_004208.3), ATL1(NM_015915.4), ATP1A1(NM_000701.7), ATP7A(NM 000052.6), BICD2(NM 001003800.1), BSCL2(NM 032667.6), CHCHD10(NM 213720.2), COX6A1(NM_004373.3), CPOX(NM_000097.5), CYP27A1(NM_000784.3), DCTN1(NM_004082.4), DNAJB2(NM_001039550.1), DNM2(NM_001005360.2), DNMT1(NM_001130823.2), DST(NM_001144769.2), DYNC1H1(NM_001376.4), EGR2(NM_000399.4), ELP1(NM_003640.4), FBLN5(NM_006329.3), FGD4(NM_139241.3), FIG4(NM_014845.5), GARS1(NM_002047.3), GDAP1(NM_018972.2), GJB1(NM_000166.5), GNB4(NM_021629.3), HAR\$1(NM_002109.5), HINT1(NM_005340.6), HK1(NM_033500.2), HMBS(NM_000190.3), HSPB1(NM_001540.3), HSPB8(NM_014365.2), IGHMBP2(NM_002180.2), INF2(NM_022489.3), KIF1A(NM_004321.7), KIF5A(NM_004984.2), LITAF(NM_004862.3), LMNA(NM_170707.3), LRSAM1(NM_138361.5), MCM3AP(NM_003906.4), MFN2(NM_014874.3), MME(NM_007289.2), MORC2(NM_001303256.2), MPV17(NM_002437.4), MPZ(NM_000530.7), MT-ATP6(MT-ATP6), MTMR2(NM_016156.5), NDRG1(NM_006096.3), NEFH(NM_021076.3), NEFL(NM_006158.4), NGF(NM_002506.2), NTRK1(NM 001012331.1), PLEKHG5(NM 001265592.1), PMP2(NM 002677.3), PMP22(NM 000304.3), PPOX(NM_000309.3), PRDM12(NM_021619.2), PRPS1(NM_002764.3), PRX(NM_181882.2), RAB7A(NM_004637.5), REEP1(NM_022912.2), RETREG1(NM_001034850.2), SBF1(NM_002972.3), SBF2(NM_030962.3), SCN10A(NM_006514.3), SCN11A(NM 014139.2), SCN9A(NM 002977.3), SEPTIN9(NM 006640.4), SETX(NM 015046.5), SH3TC2(NM 024577.3), SIGMAR1(NM_005866.3), SLC52A2(NM_024531.4), SLC52A3(NM_033409.3), SLC5A7(NM_021815.4). SMN1(NM_000344.3), SPG11(NM_025137.3), SPTLC1(NM_006415.3), SPTLC2(NM_004863.3), TFG(NM_006070.5), TRIM2(NM_001130067.1), TRPV4(NM_021625.4), TTR(NM_000371.3), VRK1(NM_003384.2), WARS1(NM_004184.3), WNK1(NM_018979.3), YARS1(NM_003680.3)

LIST OF EXONS WITH COVERAGE < 20X

Chr.	Pos.	Gene	Exon	Transcript	Mean Coverage (Min/Max)
Chr5	7022092670221016	SMN1	Exon 01	NM_000344.3	2.77 (1/5)
Chr5	7023466170234742	SMN1	Exon 02	NM_000344.3	0.00 (0/0)
Chr5	7023721170237340	SMN1	Exon 03	NM_000344.3	0.00 (0/0)
Chr5	7023818070238390	SMN1	Exon 04	NM_000344.3	0.00 (0/0)
Chr5	7023854070238702	SMN1	Exon 05	NM_000344.3	0.00 (0/0)
Chr5	7024048070240585	SMN1	Exon 06	NM_000344.3	1.88 (1/2)
Chr5	7024188870242008	SMN1	Exon 07	NM_000344.3	0.00 (0/0)





 Chr9
 133556630..133557061
 PRDM12
 Exon 05
 NM_021619.2
 92.47 (0/177)

 Chr19
 10290858..10290915
 DNMT1
 Exon 05
 NM_001130823.2
 16.33 (12/22)

TECHNICAL LIMITATIONS

mosaics (<20%); indels >21bp; repeat expansions; repetitive regions; variants in: homopolymeric regions or regions of high sequence homology, unenriched regions (untranslated regions, introns, promoter and enhancer regions) or enriched but insufficiently covered regions; variants in mt-DNA (VAF<20%); determination of the phase of multiple variants in one gene; balanced genomic rearrangements

CLASSES OF VARIANTS

Class 5: pathogenic variant – are reported, posterior probability >99 %

Class 4: likely pathogenic variant – are reported, posterior probability >90 %

Class 3: uncertain significance - only be listed in the report if posterior probability is >67.5 %

Class 2: likely benign - not reported, posterior probability <10 %

Class 1: benign - not reported, posterior probability <0,1 %

ACMG CRITERIA

1. Criteria for pathogenic evidence

PVS1: Null variant in a gene where loss of function (LOF) is a known mechanism of disease; PS1: same amino acid change as a previously established pathogenic variant regardless of nucleotide change; PS2/PM6: de novo in a patient with the disease and no family history; PS3: well-established functional studies supportive of a damaging effect on the gene or gene product; PS4: the prevalence of the variant in affected individuals is significantly increased compared with the prevalence in controls/was identified in unrelated affected individuals; PM1: missense variant located in a mutational hot spot and/or critical and well-established functional domain; PM2: absent from controls (or at extremely low frequency) in Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD); PM3: for recessive disorders, detected in homozygous state or together with another (not benign or likely benign) variant; PM4: protein length changes as a result of in-frame deletions/insertions in a non- repeat region or stop-loss variants; PM5: missense change at an amino acid residue where a different missense change determined to be (likely) pathogenic has been seen before; PP1: co-segregation with disease in multiple affected family members; PP2: missense variant in a gene that has a low rate of benign missense variation and in which missense variants are a common mechanism of disease; PP3: multiple lines of computational evidence support a deleterious effect on the gene or gene product; PP4: patient's phenotype or family history is (highly) is specific for variations in the affected gene; PP5: reputable source recently reports variant as pathogenic, but the evidence is not available to the laboratory to perform an independent evaluation.

2. Criteria for benign evidence

BA1: allele frequency is >5% if recessive and 0.5% if dominant in gnomAD; BS1: allele frequency is greater than expected for disorder; BS2: observed in a healthy adult individual for a recessive (homozygous), dominant (heterozygous), or X-linked (hemizygous) disorder, with full penetrance expected at an early age; BS3: well-established functional studies show no damaging effect on protein function or splicing; BS4: lack of segregation with disease; BP1: missense variant in a gene for which primarily truncating variants are known to cause disease OR for loss-of-function variants in a gene where the disease is caused by gain-of-function variants; BP2: observed in trans with a pathogenic variant for a fully penetrant dominant gene/disorder or observed in cis with a pathogenic variant in any inheritance pattern; BP3: in-frame deletions/insertions in a repetitive region without a known function; BP4: multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene or gene product; BP5: variant found in a case with an alternate molecular basis for disease; BP6: reputable source recently reports variant as benign, but the evidence is not available to the laboratory to perform an independent evaluation; BP7: a synonymous (silent) variant for which splicing prediction algorithms predict no impact to the splice consensus sequence nor the creation of a new splice site AND the nucleotide is not highly conserved.

According to Ellard et al. 2020, the strength level of criteria PVS1, PS1, PS2, PS3, PS4, PM1, PM3, PM4, PM5, PP1, PP4, BP2, and BP4 can be modified depending on the cogency of the evidence.

Report released by

John Doe 16.3.2020

johndoe@medicover.com